

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/917,437	07/27/2001	Vivek B. Nadkarni	TRMB964 9713		
7:	590 09/04/2003				
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			EXAMINER		
Third Floor Two North Market Street			LAU, TUNG S		
San Jose, CA	95113		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2863		
	•				
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/04/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 00/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application N .	Applicant(s)	.
	09/917,437	NADKARNI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tung S Lau	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	Ş
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	<u>July 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) g is/are objected to.	a ala attau na avian mand		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u> </u>		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aniner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (d) == (6)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (i).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		on No	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			10
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		G
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			-

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that
form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 10, 17, 2, 3, 4, 11, 12, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maughmer (U.S. Patent 4,318,300).

Regarding claim 1:

Maughmer discloses a method for simultaneously determining respective scale factors or alignment angles of sensitive axes in a multi-axis accelerometer device for measuring acceleration, comprising the steps of a) mounting a multi-axis accelerometer device on a turntable in a first orientation (col. 1-2, lines 30-3), the turntable having a tilt angle with respect to a vertical axis defined by a local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3); b) spinning a multi-axis accelerometer device around an axis of rotation at an angular velocity using the turn table such that the multi-axis accelerometer device experiences a time varying component of the local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3); c) receiving respective outputs of the multiple axis as the multi-axis accelerometer device experiences the time varying component of the local gravity vector (fig. 4-6); d) repeating steps (a), (b) and (c) with the multi-axis accelerometer device mounted in a second orientation (col. 1-

Art Unit: 2863

2, lines 30-3); and, e) repeating steps (a), (b) and (c) with the multi-axis accelerometer device mounted in a third orientation (fig. 1, unit 10, 16, 18); and, f) determining respective scale factors or alignment angles of the multiple axes of the accelerometer device by combining the respective received outputs of the accelerometer device with predicted outputs of an ideal accelerometer (col. 1-2, lines 30-3), the predicted outputs based on the tilt angle of the turntable, the angular velocity of the ideal accelerometer, and the local gravity vector (fig. 3a-3c).

Regarding claim 10:

Maughmer discloses a system for simultaneously determining respective scale factors or alignment angles of a multi-axis accelerometer device for measuring acceleration (abstract), comprising a turn table mechanism configured to mount an accelerometer device having multiple axis for calibration (col. 1-2, lines 30-3), the turntable having a tilt angle with respect to a vertical axis defined by a local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3), the turntable configured to spin the accelerometer device around an axis of rotation at an angular velocity such that the accelerometer device experiences time varying components of the local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3); and a processor system coupled to receive respective outputs of the multiple sensitive axes of the accelerometer device (fig. 4-6), the processor system (fig 4-6) configured to record the outputs of the accelerometer device as the device experiences the time varying components of the local gravity vector and to determine respective scale factors or alignment

Page 4

angles of the multiple axis of the accelerometer device by combining the logged outputs of the accelerometer device with a predicted output of an ideal accelerometer (fig. 10-15) the predicted output based on the tilt angle of the turntable, the angular velocity of the ideal accelerometer and the local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3, fig. 3a-3c).

Regarding claim 17:

Maughmer discloses a method for simultaneously determining respective scale factors or alignment angles of sensitive axes in a multi-axis accelerometer device for measuring acceleration, comprising the steps of a) mounting a multi-axis accelerometer device on a turntable in a first orientation (fig. 8), the turntable having a tilt angle with respect to a vertical axis defined by a local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3); b) spinning a multi-axis accelerometer device around an axis of rotation at an angular velocity using the turn table such that the multi-axis accelerometer device experiences a time varying component of the local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3); c) receiving respective outputs of the multiple axis as the multi-axis accelerometer device experiences the time varying component of the local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3); d) determining respective scale factors or alignment angles of the 30 multiple axes of the accelerometer device by combining the respective received outputs of the accelerometer device with predicted outputs of an ideal accelerometer (fig. 3a-3c), the predicted outputs

Application/Control Number: 09/917,437

Art Unit: 2863

based on the tilt angle of the turntable, the angular velocity of the ideal accelerometer, and the local gravity vector (col. 1-2, lines 30-3, fig. 13-14).

Page 5

Regarding claims 2, 3, 4, 11, 12, 18 and 19:

Maughmer discloses a method for simultaneously determining respective scale factors or alignment angles of sensitive axes in a multi-axis accelerometer device for measuring acceleration including constant angular velocity (col. 1, lines 30-36); The accelerometer is oriented three orientation while recording data (col. 1-2, lines 30-3); The time varying components of the local gravity vector are equal to g*sin(x)*cos(y(t)) and g*sin(x)*sin(y(t)) (fig. 6, 7, 9, 10), where x is the tilt angle (Col. 1-2, Lines 30-3), g is the acceleration due to gravity, and y is an angle subtended at the axis of rotation by the accelerometer and the component of gravity in the plane of rotation of the accelerometer (Col. 1-2, Lines 30-3); multiple-axis accelerometer mounted in second and third position (col. 1-2, lines 30-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,437

Art Unit: 2863

a. Claims 5-8, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maughmer (U.S. Patent 4,318,300) in view of Horton et al. (U.S. Patent 6,421,622).

Page 6

Maughmer discloses a method including the subject matter discussed above except the use of low pass filter, A/D converter, scale factors calculation. Horton discloses the use of low pass filter (Col. 8-9, Lines 60-12), A/D converter (fig. 2, unit 21), scale factors calculation (Col. 6, Lines 3-53, Col. 8, table 1), in order to receive and combines multiple signal for the system calculation (Col. 8-9, Lines 60-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Maughmer to have the use of low pass filter, A/D converter, scale factors calculation taught by in order to receive and combines multiple signal for the system calculation (Col. 8-9, Lines 60-12).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,437

Art Unit: 2863

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to

teach the use of Fourier transform.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled

"Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for

regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers: TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703)

872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319

TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

TL August 20, 2003

John Banow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 7